(Spl. - H.C.A.S. C.D., 78-e)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

PANAJI – GOA

APPELLATE SIDE

MISCELLANEOUS CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 733 OF 2004

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Quorum appearances, Court's orders, or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders.

Mr. G. Shirodkar, Additional Government Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr. S. S. Kantak, Advocate for the Respondents.

CORAM : B. H. MARLAPALLE &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

DATE : 4TH OCTOBER, 2004.

P.C.:-

By Order dated 8th June, 2004, Writ Petition No.114 of 2004 was disposed of by this Court and more particularly on the basis of the Affidavit-in-reply submitted by Respondent No. 3 i.e. the Police Inspector, Panaji Town Police Station, wherein he had on oath stated that he requires six months time to complete the investigation without any further delay and this six months period he had

sought in view of the complexity of the cases under investigation. This Affidavit was sworn on 26th March, 2004 and by noting the said undertaking, this Court had granted six months time from 29th March, 2004 to complete the investigation and the Petition was disposed of accordingly.

This Miscellaneous Civil Application has been moved praying for extension of six months time to complete the investigation. It has been stated in support that the Enquiry Report from the Director of Vigilance Department has not yet been received and by letter dated 24th September, 2004, the Vigilance Department has been requested to furnish the Report in respect of some works.

In the matter of alleged construction, Shri A. B. Rao, P.W.D. Engineer is assisting the Applicant who is not able to devote his full time as he

is in charge of the Town Police Station and has to attend other emergency works.

We are not impressed by this reasoning. In Writ Petition No.114 of 2004, Respondent No.1/State of Goa, was represented through the Chief Secretary and Respondent No.2 was Inspector General of Police, State of Goa. It is necssary for Respondent No.2 to ensure that the present Applicant is given all the assistance to complete the investigation within the time limit set out by this Court.

However, as a matter of last chance, we are inclined to extend the outer limit for completing the investigation upto 31st October, 2004.

The application is accordingly disposed of by extending the time limit upto 31st October, 2004 and we expect the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 to ensure that Respondent No. 3 completes the

investigation within this extended time limit.

B. H. MARLAPALLE, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

RD.